Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,383	SANDAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Georgia L. Helmer	1638
All Participants: Status of Application: NonFinal		
(1) <u>Georgia L. Helmer</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Peet.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112.2 of Claim 26, line 7, wherein "gold" lacks antecedent be	asis.	
Claims discussed: 26		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Examiner pointed out 112.2 rejection of Claim 26, line 7, wh changed tometal		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)